

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



DATE MAILED: 08/26/2003

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/037,162	01/04/2002	Gerald T. Mattson		6761	
7:	590 08/26/2003				
Gerald T Mattson			EXAMINER		
94 Richmond Rd PO Box 74 Fitzwilliam, NH 03447			WEINSTEIN,	WEINSTEIN, STEVEN L	
Fitzwilliam, Ni	1 03447		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1761		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

A			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	//
Office Action Summary	Examiner	$rac{ran}{ran}$	
	Examiner (*)	Group Art Unity	
- The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	rs on the cover sheet b	peneath the correspondence	address—
Period for Reply	1		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	TO EXPIRE	MONTH(S) FROM THE M	AILING DATE
<ul> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a</li> <li>If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by defa</li> <li>Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by s</li> <li>Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the n term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).</li> </ul>	n reply within the statutory mouth, expire SIX (6) MONTHS fature, cause the application	inimum of thirty (30) days will be cor rom the mailing date of this commu to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C	sidered timely. nication. § 133).
Status			
☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on			·
☐ This action is <b>FINAL.</b>			
<ul> <li>Since this application is in condition for allowance exce accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 19</li> </ul>			closed in
Disposition of Claims			
⊟ Claim(s)		is/are pending in the ap	plication.
Of the above claim(s)		is/are withdrawn from o	onsideration.
☐ Claim(s)			
☐ Claim(s)		is/are allowed.	
☐ Claim(s) — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — —	<u> </u>	is/are allowed.	
	<u> </u>	is/are allowed.  is/are rejected.  is/are objected to.  are subject to restrictio	n or election
☐ Claim(s)		is/are allowed.  is/are rejected.  is/are objected to.  are subject to restrictio requirement	n or election
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Application Papers ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is □ approved	is/are allowed.  Is/are rejected.  Is/are objected to.  are subject to restrictio requirement  disapproved.	n or election
☐ Claim(s)	is □ approved	is/are allowed.  Is/are rejected.  Is/are objected to.  are subject to restrictio requirement  disapproved.	n or election
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Application Papers ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner.	is □ approved	is/are allowed.  Is/are rejected.  Is/are objected to.  are subject to restrictio requirement  disapproved.	n or election
☐ Claim(s)	is □ approved	is/are allowed.  Is/are rejected.  Is/are objected to.  are subject to restrictio requirement  disapproved.	n or election
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Application Papers ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	is □ approved	is/are allowed.  Is/are rejected.  Is/are objected to.  are subject to restrictio requirement  disapproved.	n or election
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Application Papers ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)—(d) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority	is ┌ approved	is/are allowed.  is/are rejected.  is/are objected to.  are subject to restrictio requirement  disapproved.	n or election
□ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d) □ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority □ All □ Some* □ None of the:	is □ approved ected to by the Examiner	is/are allowed.  is/are rejected.  is/are objected to.  are subject to restrictio requirement  disapproved.	n or election
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority ☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the: ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been	is _ approved ected to by the Examiner under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a received.	is/are allowed.  is/are rejected.  is/are objected to.  are subject to restrictio requirement  disapproved.	n or election
□ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been	is approved ected to by the Examiner under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a received.	is/are allowed.  is/are rejected.  is/are objected to.  are subject to restrictio requirement  disapproved.	n or election
□ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d) □ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority □ All □ Some* □ None of the: □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents	is approved ected to by the Examiner under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a received. received in Application ints have been received	is/are allowed.  is/are rejected.  is/are objected to.  are subject to restrictio requirement  disapproved.	n or election
□ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)—(d) □ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority □ All □ Some* □ None of the: □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority document in this national stage application from the Internation	is approved ected to by the Examiner under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a received. received in Application at have been received and Bureau (PCT Rule 17.	is/are allowed.  is/are rejected.  is/are objected to.  are subject to restrictio requirement  disapproved.  a)-(d).	
□ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ The drawing(s) filed on	is approved ected to by the Examiner under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a received. received in Application at have been received and Bureau (PCT Rule 17.	is/are allowed.  is/are rejected.  is/are objected to.  are subject to restrictio requirement  disapproved.  a)-(d).	
□ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ The drawing(s) filed on □ is/are objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been □ Certified copies of the priority documents h	is  approved ected to by the Examiner under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a received. received in Application at have been received and Bureau (PCT Rule 17.	is/are allowed.  is/are rejected.  is/are objected to.  are subject to restrictio requirement  disapproved.	
□ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ The drawing(s) filed on	is approved ected to by the Examiner under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a received. received in Application at have been received and Bureau (PCT Rule 17.	is/are allowed.  is/are rejected.  is/are objected to.  are subject to restrictio requirement  disapproved.  a)-(d).  No.  2(a))	·
□ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ The drawing(s) filed on □ is/are objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been □ Certified copies of the priority documents h	is approved ected to by the Examiner under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a received. received in Application has have been received hal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.	is/are allowed.  is/are rejected.  is/are objected to.  are subject to restrictio requirement  disapproved.	· cation, PTO-152

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 11/00)

Part of Paper No.

Application/Control Number: 10/037,162

Art Unit: 1761

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

I. Claim 1, drawn to a kit for stuffing food, classified in class 99, subclass 450.8.

II. Claim 2, drawn to a method of stuffing food, classified in class 426, subclass 281.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because:

Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the product as claimed in Group I can be used in methods other than that recited in Group II. For example, the product of Group I could be used in a method wherein the aperture is not cut in a food to be stuffed but instead is created by molding the food to be stuffed. Also, the product as claimed in Group I can be used in methods other than stuffing. The product of Group I can be used in a molding process wherein food is place around the rods to form a cored food and the sheets could be used to wrap the food to retain the food on the rods or used as a wrapper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art requiring separate searches as shown by their difference classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated in proper.

Art Unit: 1761

Any inquiry concerning this communication from the examiner should be directed to Steven Weinstein whose telephone number is 703-308-0650. The examiner can generally be reached on Monday-Friday 7:00am to 3:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor. Milton Cano can be reached on 703-308-3959. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

S. Weinstein/mn August 20, 2003

STEVE WEINSTEIN
PRIMARY ENAMINER